

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Alabama

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Alabama’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN ALABAMA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$910 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,530 Alabama students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Alabama would lose \$76 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Alabama families:** Over the next two years, 5,500 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Alaska

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Alaska’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN ALASKA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$780 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 60 Alaska students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Alaska would lose \$12 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Alaska families:** Over the next two years, 500 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Arizona

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Arizona’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN ARIZONA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$820 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,010 Arizona students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Arizona would lose \$99 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Arizona families:** Over the next two years, 4,600 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Arkansas

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Arkansas’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN ARKANSAS

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$890 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,060 Arkansas students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Arkansas would lose \$49 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Arkansas families:** Over the next two years, 3,400 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in California

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for California’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN CALIFORNIA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$830 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 11,140 California students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** California would lose \$551 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for California families:** Over the next two years, 34,100 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Colorado

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Colorado’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN COLORADO

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$810 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,350 Colorado students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Colorado would lose \$61 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Colorado families:** Over the next two years, 3,500 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Connecticut

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Connecticut’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN CONNECTICUT

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$770 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,890 Connecticut students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Connecticut would lose \$44 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Connecticut families:** Over the next two years, 2,400 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Delaware

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Delaware’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN DELAWARE

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$780 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 250 Delaware students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Delaware would lose \$12 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Delaware families:** Over the next two years, 700 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Florida

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Florida’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN FLORIDA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$820 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 3,860 Florida students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Florida would lose \$260 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Florida families:** Over the next two years, 12,400 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Georgia

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Georgia’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN GEORGIA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$840 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 2,340 Georgia students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Georgia would lose \$162 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Georgia families:** Over the next two years, 8,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Hawaii

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Hawaii’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN HAWAII

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$830 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 170 Hawaii students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Hawaii would lose \$14 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Hawaii families:** Over the next two years, 1,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Idaho

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Idaho’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN IDAHO

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$880 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 370 Idaho students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Idaho would lose \$22 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Idaho families:** Over the next two years, 1,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Illinois

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Illinois’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN ILLINOIS

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$820 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 6,170 Illinois students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Illinois would lose \$211 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Illinois families:** Over the next two years, 13,400 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Indiana

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Indiana’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN INDIANA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$800 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 2,940 Indiana students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Indiana would lose \$99 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Indiana families:** Over the next two years, 5,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Iowa

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Iowa’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN IOWA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$830 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 2,770 Iowa students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Iowa would lose \$38 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Iowa families:** Over the next two years, 2,600 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Kansas

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Kansas’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN KANSAS

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$830 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,200 Kansas students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Kansas would lose \$43 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Kansas families:** Over the next two years, 2,800 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Kentucky

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Kentucky’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN KENTUCKY

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$840 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,910 Kentucky students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Kentucky would lose \$69 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Kentucky families:** Over the next two years, 5,400 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Louisiana

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Louisiana’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN LOUISIANA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$890 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,550 Louisiana students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Louisiana would lose \$87 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Louisiana families:** Over the next two years, 7,200 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Maine

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Maine’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN MAINE

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$830 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,210 Maine students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Maine would lose \$20 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Maine families:** Over the next two years, 1,200 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Maryland

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Maryland’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN MARYLAND

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$780 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,580 Maryland students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Maryland would lose \$75 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Maryland families:** Over the next two years, 3,500 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

**Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in
Massachusetts**

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Massachusetts’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

**IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN
MASSACHUSETTS**

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$830 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 7,170 Massachusetts students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Massachusetts would lose \$91 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Massachusetts families:** Over the next two years, 4,300 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Michigan

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Michigan’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN MICHIGAN

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$820 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 4,410 Michigan students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Michigan would lose \$176 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Michigan families:** Over the next two years, 11,500 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Minnesota

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Minnesota’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN MINNESOTA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$770 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 2,740 Minnesota students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Minnesota would lose \$69 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Minnesota families:** Over the next two years, 3,700 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Mississippi

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Mississippi’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN MISSISSIPPI

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$980 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,340 Mississippi students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Mississippi would lose \$55 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Mississippi families:** Over the next two years, 8,800 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Missouri

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Missouri’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN MISSOURI

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$840 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 2,700 Missouri students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Missouri would lose \$86 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Missouri families:** Over the next two years, 5,900 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Montana

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Montana’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN MONTANA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$860 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 380 Montana students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Montana would lose \$12 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Montana families:** Over the next two years, 1,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Nebraska

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Nebraska’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN NEBRASKA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$790 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 820 Nebraska students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Nebraska would lose \$28 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Nebraska families:** Over the next two years, 1,700 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Nevada

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Nevada’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN NEVADA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$810 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 220 Nevada students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Nevada would lose \$35 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Nevada families:** Over the next two years, 1,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in New Hampshire

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for New Hampshire’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$800 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,290 New Hampshire students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** New Hampshire would lose \$14 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for New Hampshire families:** Over the next two years, 600 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in New Jersey

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for New Jersey’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN NEW JERSEY

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$860 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 2,630 New Jersey students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** New Jersey would lose \$122 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for New Jersey families:** Over the next two years, 5,100 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in New Mexico

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for New Mexico’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN NEW MEXICO

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$800 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 550 New Mexico students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** New Mexico would lose \$38 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for New Mexico families:** Over the next two years, 2,500 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in New York

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for New York’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN NEW YORK

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$850 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 13,240 New York students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** New York would lose \$333 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for New York families:** Over the next two years, 16,600 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in North Carolina

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for North Carolina’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN NORTH CAROLINA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$830 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 3,310 North Carolina students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** North Carolina would lose \$140 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for North Carolina families:** Over the next two years, 6,700 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in North Dakota

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for North Dakota’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

**IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN
NORTH DAKOTA**

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$860 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 440 North Dakota students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** North Dakota would lose \$10 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for North Dakota families:** Over the next two years, 800 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Ohio

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Ohio’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN OHIO

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$830 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 5,390 Ohio students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Ohio would lose \$194 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Ohio families:** Over the next two years, 12,600 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Oklahoma

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Oklahoma’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN OKLAHOMA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$840 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,150 Oklahoma students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Oklahoma would lose \$57 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Oklahoma families:** Over the next two years, 4,600 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Oregon

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Oregon’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN OREGON

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$820 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 2,010 Oregon students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Oregon would lose \$55 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Oregon families:** Over the next two years, 4,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Pennsylvania

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Pennsylvania’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN PENNSYLVANIA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$810 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 9,180 Pennsylvania students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Pennsylvania would lose \$186 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Pennsylvania families:** Over the next two years, 12,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Rhode Island

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Rhode Island’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN RHODE ISLAND

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$820 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,510 Rhode Island students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Rhode Island would lose \$17 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Rhode Island families:** Over the next two years, 900 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in South Carolina

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for South Carolina’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN SOUTH CAROLINA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$860 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,520 South Carolina students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** South Carolina would lose \$74 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for South Carolina families:** Over the next two years, 4,200 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in South Dakota

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for South Dakota’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN SOUTH DAKOTA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$810 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 530 South Dakota students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** South Dakota would lose \$11 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for South Dakota families:** Over the next two years, 900 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Tennessee

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Tennessee’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

**IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN
TENNESSEE**

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$860 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 2,400 Tennessee students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Tennessee would lose \$96 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Tennessee families:** Over the next two years, 5,500 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Texas

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Texas’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN TEXAS

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$820 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 5,610 Texas students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Texas would lose \$439 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Texas families:** Over the next two years, 23,400 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Utah

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Utah’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN UTAH

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$850 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 480 Utah students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Utah would lose \$43 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Utah families:** Over the next two years, 2,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Vermont

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Vermont’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN VERMONT

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$800 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,230 Vermont students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Vermont would lose \$10 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Vermont families:** Over the next two years, 500 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Virginia

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Virginia’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN VIRGINIA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$850 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 2,570 Virginia students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Virginia would lose \$101 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Virginia families:** Over the next two years, 4,600 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Washington

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Washington’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN WASHINGTON

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$820 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 1,680 Washington students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Washington would lose \$90 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Washington families:** Over the next two years, 4,000 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in West Virginia

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for West Virginia’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN WEST VIRGINIA

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$890 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 900 West Virginia students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** West Virginia would lose \$30 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for West Virginia families:** Over the next two years, 2,600 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Wisconsin

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Wisconsin’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN WISCONSIN

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$800 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 3,100 Wisconsin students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Wisconsin would lose \$83 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Wisconsin families:** Over the next two years, 4,600 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###

The White House
Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release
March 29, 2012

Fact Sheet: Consequences of Ryan Republican Budget for Education in Wyoming

WASHINGTON – In February, President Obama put forward a balanced budget proposal that reduces the deficit by over \$4 trillion by asking the wealthiest to pay their fair share while making the investments we need to create jobs and strengthen the middle class. Today, the House of Representatives is voting on the Ryan Republican budget proposal which offers a very different vision for the future for Wyoming’s middle class families. It fails the test of balance, fairness, and shared responsibility, showering the wealthiest few Americans with an average tax cut of at least \$150,000, while preserving taxpayer giveaways to oil companies and breaks for Wall Street hedge fund managers – all paid for by undermining Medicare and the very things we need to grow our economy and the middle class. The Ryan Republican budget would end Medicare as we know it, turning the guarantee of retirement security into a voucher that will shift higher and higher costs to seniors over time.

The Ryan Republican budget draws on the same wrong-headed theory that led to the worst recession of our lifetimes and contributed to the erosion of middle-class security over the last decade. And the President believes we cannot return to a failed theory that didn’t lead to the growth of jobs, incomes, or the economy.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ON EDUCATION IN WYOMING

- **Undermines college affordability:** The typical student would see his or her Pell Grant fall by \$830 in 2014, making it more difficult to afford college.
- **Reduces work-study opportunities:** 150 Wyoming students would lose work-study job opportunities in 2014, which help them pay their way through college.
- **Slashes funding for special education, elementary and secondary schools:** Wyoming would lose \$10 million in Title I and IDEA funding for its elementary and secondary schools.
- **Cuts access to Head Start for Wyoming families:** Over the next two years, 500 children would lose access to Head Start, a program that helps promote school readiness.

IMPACTS OF HOUSE REPUBLICAN BUDGET RESOLUTION ACROSS THE NATION

- **Slashes health-care benefits for our seniors.** Instead of guaranteeing our seniors the security of health coverage, the House Republican Budget Resolution would force current beneficiaries to pay more, now and in the future. Immediately, it would repeal the closing of the prescription drug donut hole, causing the typical Medicare beneficiary who hits the donut hole to spend nearly \$11,400 more on prescription drugs between 2013 and 2021. For new beneficiaries starting in 2023, the Republican plan would dramatically increase the cost of traditional Medicare and drive millions of seniors out of traditional Medicare, saving money by shifting costs, not reducing them. The Republican plan would also raise the Medicare eligibility age, achieving additional savings by delaying seniors' access to protected Medicare benefits.
- **Raises health costs for middle-class families.** By repealing policies to hold insurance companies accountable and make coverage affordable, private insurance premiums would increase by \$2,300 for a middle-class family that would have purchased health insurance through the Affordable Insurance Exchanges in 2014.
- **Denies coverage to over 50 million people by the end of the decade, slashing services for seniors in nursing homes, children, people with disabilities, and low-income families.** A conservative estimate is that the deep cuts in Federal Medicaid spending would result in 19 million people losing coverage, including millions of nursing home patients, people with disabilities, and children. In addition, 33 million people would lose the health insurance they would have received as a result of the Affordable Care Act.
- **Cuts investments made on an annual basis by nearly 20% in 2014.** The House Republican Budget Resolution calls for an immediate \$27 billion, or 5 percent, reduction in non-security discretionary spending. Accounting for the House's Budget Committee's rejection of separate disaster funding and proposed cuts in mandatory Pell Grant funding, the cut in other discretionary funding is about \$46 billion, or 10 percent. In 2014, the resolution cuts an additional \$68 billion, for a total non-defense discretionary funding cut of almost 20 percent by 2014. These cuts will strike at the types of investments that we need to outcompete the rest of the world, as well as core government services.

For example:

- Slashing funding for education, training, and social services. For example, the House Budget would cut the Department of Education by \$115 billion over the next decade, defeating efforts to reform schools, support teachers, and make college affordable.

- Cutting by 57 percent investments in new energy technologies that would reduce the dependence on foreign oil and increase energy production here at home.
- **Breaks the debt limit deal reached last year by the President and leadership from both parties in Congress.** The House Budget Resolution breaks the debt ceiling deal negotiated in good faith last summer. In a year of partisan gridlock when the Republican leadership walked away from a broader package to reduce the debt, the President and Congressional leadership agreed on annual discretionary caps that would save \$1 trillion and reduce non-security discretionary spending to its lowest level as a share of the economy since President Eisenhower. Now, the House Republican leadership has chosen to walk away from that deal, too. The Budget resolution also does not provide the disaster funding adjustment to which all parties agreed in August and which Congress used this fall, in order to do right by the families who had suffered from flood and hurricane damage last year. Instead of asking the most well-off among us to contribute their fair share as part of a balanced package, the Republican plan goes back to the well of non-security annual appropriations, a slice of the budget that represents only 34 percent, and makes cuts that will inevitably force reductions in core government services and investments in this Nation's future.
- **Sequester.** The House Republican Budget Resolution takes the sequester that was intended to spur Congress to bipartisan compromise and uses it to enact draconian cuts on non-defense programs. The Republican Budget proposes to protect defense from the across the board cuts by "turning off" the sequester for defense while making non-defense programs bear all of the cuts for the remainder of the sequester.
- **Cuts programs that provide opportunity and also give security in times of need.** It slashes income security programs by almost 20 percent by 2014. Cuts of this magnitude would require over 2.5 million postpartum women and children to lose access to healthy food and other services through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC).
- **Rejects Disaster Relief.** Last summer's budget agreement explicitly included an adjustment in the discretionary spending cap in order to provide funding for victims of disasters like hurricanes and floods. The intent of the disaster adjustment was to ensure that disaster recovery does not become a political football, but get the help they need when they need it. The Budget Resolution provides no funding for the cap adjustment, and assumes it is not used. If this approach is followed, it would break the August deal and create cloud of uncertainty over the ability of disaster victims to get the help that they need in times of crisis.

- **Large tax cuts for high-income households.** While forcing dramatic cuts affecting seniors, disabled children, and students, the House Republican Budget Resolution refuses to ask the wealthy to pay their fair share, instead proposing additional tax cuts and a continuation of tax loopholes that drive up our deficit while benefitting narrow special interests. The Republican plan proposes more than \$1 trillion in tax cuts for families making more than \$250,000 – or an average of at least \$150,000 per millionaire.

###